United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology

Filename: 451434

Contact/Presenting Author: Lisa M Stoll MD MPH

Department/Institution: Pathology, University of California, Los Angeles

Address: 10833 Le Conte Avenue

City/State/Zip/Country: Los Angeles, CA, 90095, United States

Phone: 310-825-5719 Cell Phone: 310-209-6084

E-mail: lstoll@mednet.ucla.edu Alt. E-mail: lstoll213@gmail.com

Abstract Category: Cytopathology **Presentation format:** Poster Only

Is the first author of this abstract a pathologist-in-training? Yes

ABSTRACT DISCLOSURE INFORMATION

Is this abstract original Material? Yes

Has this abstract been previously published? No

Is this abstract accepted for publication or presentation at another meeting before March 4, 2011? No

Does this abstract include the use of unlabeled (or off-label) investigational products? No

Does your abstract include references to any commercial products or services? No

AWARD INFORMATION

Award: I am NOT applying for any award

Title: A Novel Liquid Based ClearPrep Technique: Comparison to SurePath Methodology for Cervical Pap Smear

Authors:

Lisa Stoll¹, Michael Johnson², Shyue-Lee Yean¹, Mary Levin¹ and Jianyu Rao¹.

Institutions:

¹Pathology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States and ²Pathology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, United States.

Background: Current liquid based preparations (LBP) including ThinPrep and SurePath have proven their efficacy compared to traditional smears for the diagnosis of cervical pathology. However, both methods rely on manufacturer-provided instrumentation and solutions for the preparation of specimens. We describe a new LBP, the ClearPrep method, which is a manual preparation, conducted using on-hand fixative and staining solutions.

Design: A total of 101 remnants of SurePath liquid-based patient samples were studied. These were consecutive samples collected from a period of 2 months (from June to July, 2010). The samples were divided in half by volume, one half for ClearPrep preparation and the other half for SurePath preparation. For ClearPrep preparation, the sample was vortexed for 10 seconds, followed by centrifugation (3000 rpm x 2 min). The specimen was decanted leaving the pellet and 1.5 mls supernatant. Three mls of polymer agar solution were added and the specimen vortexed (10 sec). The specimen was pipetted onto the slide, creating an oval shaped aliquot (1-1.5 mm). Slides were air-dried, stained with Papanicolaou method and cover-slipped. Both SurePath and ClearPrep slides were screened separately by both a cytotechnologist and pathologist.

Result: The age of women ranged from 20-74 years (median=38 yrs). Among the 101 cases, SurePath diagnoses consisted of: 6 unsatisfactory, 20 negative for lesion or malignancy (NILM), 12 NILM with endometrial cells, 26 ASCUS, 4 AGC, 25 LSIL, 4 ASC-H, 4 HSIL. ClearPrep slide diagnoses correlated in 97/101 (96%) cases. Discrepancies consisted of 4/101 (4%) ASCUS diagnosed on SurePath, with ClearPrep demonstrating NILM with reactive changes. One of these 4 cases showed positive HPV test.

Conclusion: This preliminary study shows that ClearPrep is comparable to SurePath in providing reliable diagnostic material for cervical Pap smears with potentially lower cost. Additional larger prospective studies are needed to determine the true cost-benefit of this novel method.